



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/305,780 04/28/99 MOSKOVICH

J USPL-33RE

EXAMINER

MMC2/0920

MAURICE M KLEE PH D
1951 BURR STREET
FAIRFIELD CT 06430

SUGARMAN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2873

DATE MAILED:

09/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action SummaryApplication No.
09/305,780Applicant(s)
MOSCOVICHExaminer
Scott J. SugarmanGroup Art Unit
2873☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 21, 2000☐ This action is **FINAL**.☒ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire TWO month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims☒ Claim(s) 1-19, 21-31, 33-44, 46-52, and 54-60 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 1-19, 21-31, 33-44, 46-52, and 54-60 is/are allowed.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.**Application Papers**☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).**Attachment(s)**☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 3☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-18 (original, unamended claims), 19, 21-31, 33-44, 46-52 and 54-60 (added claims) are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented, for example, in original, unamended independent claims 1 and 17 which include a lens system having a first lens unit which has a negative power and has a negative lens element whose strongest surface is convex to the long conjugate side of the system; a second lens unit which is of weak optical power and has two meniscus elements whose concave surfaces face each other, the system's aperture stop being located between the meniscus elements and each meniscus element having at least one aspheric surface; and a third lens unit which has a positive power and has means for correcting the chromatic aberrations of the system, the third lens unit forms the system's telecentric pupil by imaging the aperture stop. Also, the prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented, for example, in newly added independent claims 19, 31, 44 and 52, which include a projection lens having a lens element composed of a material, other than acrylic plastic, having an abnormal partial dispersion, where the lens element

Art Unit: 2873

reduces the secondary lateral color of the projection lens. Therefore, claims 1-18 (original, unamended claims), 19, 21-31, 33-44, 46-52 and 54-60 (added claims) are considered allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Reissue Applications

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:


The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman at telephone number (703) 308-4821.


Scott J. Sugarman
Primary Examiner

Sugarman
September 12, 2000